

Sequential Copying Networks



Qingyu Zhou^{1*}, Nan Yang², Furu Wei², Ming Zhou² ¹Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China ²Microsoft Research, Beijing, China qyzhgm@gmail.com {nanya, fuwei, mingzhou}@microsoft.com

* Work done during an internship at Microsoft Research.

Why Sequential Copying?

Abstractive summarization as an example:

Sequential Copying happens when doing abstractive summarization:

• *Copying a span from input sentence*

the chilean foreign ministry announced wednesday that it will revise the security regime at its embassies overseas





Task 1: Question Generation give a sentence and its desired answer **Dataset:** Question Generation dataset based on SQuAD. **Evaluation Metric: BLEU-4**

Model	Dev set	Test set
PCFG-Trans [‡]	9.28	9.31
s2s+att [‡]	3.01	3.06
NQG [‡]	10.06	10.13
NQG+ [‡] (single copy)	12.30	12.18



An example of sequential copying in abstractive sentence summarization task.



Percentage of generated and copied words in sentence summarization training data.

Sequential Copying is ESSENTIAL for such tasks and datasets

Sequential Copying Networks (SeqCopyNet)

<u>Problem</u>: "Single word copying" paradigm may introduce errors due to separate copying decisions when copying multi-words Solution: We copy them once and for all



13.13 13.02 SeqCopyNet

Task 2: Abstractive Sentence Summarization <u>Dataset</u>: English Gigaword: Rush, Chopra, and Weston (2015)*, Zhou et al. (2017b) and our internal test sets **Evaluation Metric: ROUGE F1**

	Test set in Zhou et al. (2017b)			Our internal test set		
Models	RG-1	RG-2	RG-L	RG-1	RG-2	RG-L
ABS [‡]	37.41	15.87-	34.70-	_	_	_
s2s+att (greedy)	46.21	24.02	43.30	45.46	22.83	42.66
s2s+att (beam)	47.08	25.11	43.81	46.54	24.18	43.55
NMT + UNK_PS (greedy)	45.64	23.38	42.67	45.21	23.01	42.38
NMT + UNK_PS (beam)	47.05	24.82	43.87	46.52	24.41	43.58
SEASS (greedy) [‡]	45.27	22.88	42.20	-	-	-
SEASS (beam) [‡]	46.86	24.58	43.53	-	-	-
SeqCopyNet (greedy)	46.51	24.14	43.20	46.08	23.99	43.26
SeqCopyNet (beam)	47.27	25.07	44.00	47.13	24.93	44.06

* Test sets of Rush, Chopra, and Weston (2015) and Zhou et al. (2017b) are similar, so we only show results on Zhou et al. (2017b) in this poster.

- Rare words have already been replaced by <unk> in test sets of Rush, Chopra, and Weston (2015) and Zhou et al. (2017b), therefore both "single word copy"
- We construct a new test set, in which the sentence-summary pairs are remained